UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA AUGUSTA DIVISION

GLENDA HEARD,)	
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	Civil Action No. 1:17-CV-113
ANDREW SAUL,)	
Commissioner of Social Security,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

ORDER

The parties, acting through counsel, having filed with the Clerk a Joint Stipulation for Resolution of Petition for EAJA fees in the above-referenced matter. (Doc. no. 41.)

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED Defendant agrees that Plaintiff will be awarded attorney fees in the amount of \$8,136.75 for 40.42 hours of work in attorneys' fees under the Equal Access to Justice ("EAJA").

In Astrue v. Ratliff, 560 U.S. 586, 589 (2010), the Supreme Court held, based on the "plain text" of 28 U.S.C. § 2412(d), that an EAJA award "is payable to the litigant and is therefore subject to a Government offset to satisfy a pre-existing debt that the litigant owes the United States." Based on Ratliff, the proper course is to "award the EAJA fees directly to Plaintiff as the prevailing party and remain silent regarding the direction of payment of those fees." Bostic v. Comm'r of Soc. Sec., 858 F. Supp. 2d 1301, 1306 (M.D. Fla. 2011). Indeed, this approach has been followed in this District. See Shank v. Berryhill, CV 116-030, doc. no. 20 (S.D. Ga. May 24, 2017)

(awarding EAJA fees to plaintiff without directing payment to counsel despite

plaintiff's assignment of award to counsel); Brown v. Astrue, CV411-152, doc. no. 24

(S.D. Ga. Mar. 4, 2013) (same); Scott v. Colvin, CV 313-004, doc. no. 26 (S.D. Ga. Nov.

11, 2013) (same).

In accord with this practice, the Court awards the EAJA fees to Plaintiff, in

the amount of \$8,136.75, subject to offset by any debt owed by Plaintiff to the United

States. The Court leaves it "to the discretion of the government to accept Plaintiff's

assignment of EAJA Fees and pay fees directly to Plaintiff's counsel after a

determination that Plaintiff does not owe a federal debt." Bostic, 858 F. Supp. 2d at

1306; see also Robinson v. Comm'r of Soc. Sec., No. 8:13-CV-2073-T-23TGW, 2015 WL

176027, at *1 (M.D. Fla. Jan. 13, 2015) (allowing EAJA fees "to be paid by virtue of a

fee assignment, to plaintiff's counsel by the defendant if the plaintiff does not owe a

debt to the United States Department of the Treasury"); Griffin v. Astrue, 1:10cv115,

2010 WL 5211548, at *3 (W.D.N.C. Dec. 16, 2010) ("There is nothing in Ratliff to

indicate that it is intended to divest the government of its discretion to enter into

direct payment arrangements where there is no debt to the government or where

funds remain after satisfaction of such debt.").

SO ORDERED this 27th day of July, 2020, at Augusta, Georgia.

BRIAN K. EPPS

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA

2